UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/870,023	05/30/2001	Charles L. Branch	MSDI-213/PC365.05	9301
52196 KRIEG DEVA	7590 07/25/2007	EXAMINER		
ONE INDIANA SQUARE, SUITE 2800			PHILOGENE, PEDRO	
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204-2709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	<u>.</u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•		\$0			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/870,023	BRANCH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Pedro Philogene	3733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Faill Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING consions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR IS IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the managed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	5 April 2007.				
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>63-106</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are without Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>63-106</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a specificant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the continuous that are continuous to be continuous.	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National Stage			
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) ier No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 63-75,82, 101,102,105,106 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stroever et al. (5,728,159).

With respect to claims 63, 72, 82, 101 Stroever et al disclose a spinal fusion implant comprising a first end portion and second end portion, as best seen in FIG.1, an elongate bone portion (12) defining a longitudinal axis, and having a generally rectangular cross-section (A) transverse to the longitudinal axis; as best seen in FIG.1, the bone portion comprising a first bone engaging surface (16), a second bone engaging surface (16); and a first side wall (L) extending between the first and second bone engaging surfaces, wherein the first side wall comprises a concave surface; as best seen figure 1, extending axially between the first and second end portions; as best seen in FIG.1. Wherein the first bone engaging surface and the second bone engaging surface are separated by a first height adjacent to a first end and by a second height adjacent to an opposite second end, wherein the first height is greater than the second height; as best seen in FIG.1. A second, opposite sidewall comprising a convex surface

extending along the length and aarranged generally parallel to the concave surface; as best seen in FIG.2

With respect to claims 64-71,73-75,102,105-106, Stroever et al disclose all the limitations, the shape of the sidewalls, ridges or teeth on the engaging surface; as best seen in FIGS.1-8, and as set forth in column 1, lines 55-67, column 2, lines 1-67, column 3, lines 1-50.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 76-81,83-100,103,104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stroever et al. (5,728,159) in view of Bianchi et al. (6,033,438).

It is noted that that Stroever et al disclose all the limitations; as set forth above, except for a first end wall adapted to engage an implant holder and comprises a recess or bore and slot or a tool attachment end, as claimed by applicant. However, in a similar art, Bianchi et al evidence the use of a spinal fusion implant having a bone attachment tool including a recess or bore and a slot to facilitate insertion of the of the spinal fusion implant into an intervertebral space.

Therefore, given the teaching of Bianchi et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of

Stroever et al., as taught by Bianchi et al to facilitate insertion of the spinal fusion implant into the intervertebral space.

Bianchi et al disclose a crescent shaped cross section including a concave edge disposed between a first substantially straight edge and a second substantially straight edge, the first and second substantially straight edges extending generally parallel tot the longitudinal axis; as best seen in FIG.16.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments and declarations with respect to claims 63-106 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pedro Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-4716. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272 - 4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Pedro Philogene/ Primary Examiner July 23, 2007